

**AZBUKA VKUSA vs REGISTRAR R01**

Resolution of the Intellectual Property Court dated 4 July 2018 in case No. A40-132026/2017

The registrar of domain names may terminate domain delegation, hence the court may oblige it to prevent the infringement

**SONY vs PAG**

Resolution of the Constitutional Court No. 8-P dated 13 February 2018

Unlike the counterfeit, the original (grey) product cannot be destroyed under the court judgment (unless it endangers human health, the environment or cultural heritage) and it is impossible to recover large compensation

**PHARMSTANDART vs PC PHARM-PRO**

Decision of the FAS of Russia dated 15 February 2018 in case No. 1-14-165/00-08-17

Imitation of packaging is easier to demonstrate for low-cost goods, since consumers' attention drops when choosing among them

**HEINEKEN UNITED BREWERIES vs SAMARA VODKA DISTILLERY**

Ruling of the Supreme Court dated 21 March 2018 in case No. A55-5711/2014

A bankrupt entity's trademark can only be acquired at an auction. It may not be terminated early in court

**CONDE NAST, SINERGIA CAPITAL vs FEDERAL SERVICE FOR INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY (ROSPATENT)**

Ruling of the Supreme Court dated 3 July 2018 in case No. A40-210165/2016

Shared ownership of a Russian trademark is impermissible (e.g., 50:50)

**NOVARTIS vs NATIVA**

Resolution of the Intellectual Property Court dated 24 April 2018 in case No. A41-85807/2016

Preparations to launch a generic drug 3+ years before the patent to the original drug expires constitute a threat of patent infringement



**IFTS vs SHOPPING AND ENTERTAINMENT MALL OLIMP**

Resolution of the Intellectual Property Court dated 6 December 2018 in case No. A49-10974/2017

If a word is not directly associated with the Olympics insignia, it can be used in a trade name

**VKONTAKTE vs DOUBLE, NATIONAL BUREAU OF CREDIT HISTORIES**

Resolution of the Intellectual Property Court dated 24 July 2018 in case No. A40-18827/2017

If it has relevant proof the owner of a social network may prohibit commercial use of information on the users

**NATIVA vs CELGENE**

Resolution of the Intellectual Property Court dated 27 December 2018 in case No. A40-71471/2017

The sub-patent holder may obtain a compulsory license (the dispute was settled)

**RAO vs KIS**

Resolution of the Ninth Appellate Commercial Court dated 11 December 2018 in case No. A40-132111/2018

Taxi companies do not have to pay RAO for playing music inside the taxicabs

**+BONUS**

**LEONOV A.I. vs TRSARKOV I.I.**

Resolution of the Ninth Appellate Commercial Court dated 15 May 2018 in case No. A40-124668/2017

Cryptocurrency was deemed to be property